FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE JAN -4, PM 3: 24

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

WESTERN DIVISION

MELVIN THOMAS,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Case No. CV 99-J-896-W

TRANZIE LOVELESS; LAURA
LOVELESS; BRIAN BLAZER;
ANITA BLAZER; EDDIE WALDROP;
BRENDA WALDROP; JEROME
KENNEDY; PHILLIP FARMER;
JEFF SMITH; and BUD TURNER,

Defendants.

JAN 4 2001

MEMORANDUM OPINION

The magistrate judge filed his third report and recommendation in this case on October 3, 2000, to which the plaintiff filed his objections on October 31, 2000. The court has carefully considered de novo all of the materials in the court file and concludes that the magistrate judge is correct with respect to his recommendation that summary judgment be granted in favor of defendant Jerome Kennedy. Even assuming as true all of the facts alleged by the plaintiff concerning a conspiracy between Kennedy and defendant Tranzie Loveless, that is a purely private conspiracy, not one involving the abuse of power under color of state law. Absent some state action or action undertaken under "color of state law," no federal constitutional right is implicated and no cause of

42

action can be stated under § 1983. While plaintiff may have remedies under state law, § 1983 simply does not apply to torts committed against him by purely private defendants.

By a separate order, the court will grant defendant Kennedy's motion for summary judgment and dismiss the claims against him.

DATED this ____ day of January, 2001.

INGE P. JOHNSON U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE